

Moderate and large deviations principles for the hazard rate function kernel estimator under censoring

Amadou DIALLO and Djamel LOUANI

L.S.T.A - Université Pierre et Marie Curie - Paris 6
Rencontres des jeunes statisticien-ne-s

Aussois, August 27th 2013

Summary

- 1 Introduction
 - Motivation
 - Recall
- 2 Large deviation
- 3 Moderate deviation

Target

- X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are nonnegative, i.i.d. random variables with a distribution function F and a density f .
- Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n are nonnegative, i.i.d. variables with a distribution function G and a density g .
- The observed random variables are then Z_i and δ_i where

$$Z_i = X_i \wedge Y_i \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_i = \mathbb{1}_{\{X_i \leq Y_i\}}.$$

- Let H be the common distribution of the Z_i 's.
- The Kernel estimator of $\lambda(x) = \frac{f(x)(1-G(x))}{1-H(x)}$ [Blum and Susarla (1980)] is

$$\lambda_n(x) = \frac{1}{nh_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\delta_i}{1 - H_n(x)} K\left(\frac{x - Z_i}{h_n}\right), \quad \text{where } H_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{Z_i \leq x\}}.$$

Target: establish pointwise large and moderate deviation principles for the estimate $\lambda_n(x)$ of $\lambda(x)$.

Target

- X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are nonnegative, i.i.d. random variables with a distribution function F and a density f .
- Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n are nonnegative, i.i.d. variables with a distribution function G and a density g .
- The observed random variables are then Z_i and δ_i where

$$Z_i = X_i \wedge Y_i \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_i = \mathbb{1}_{\{X_i \leq Y_i\}}.$$

- Let H be the common distribution of the Z_i 's.
- The Kernel estimator of $\lambda(x) = \frac{f(x)(1-G(x))}{1-H(x)}$ [Blum and Susarla (1980)] is

$$\lambda_n(x) = \frac{1}{nh_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\delta_i}{1 - H_n(x)} K\left(\frac{x - Z_i}{h_n}\right), \quad \text{where } H_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{Z_i \leq x\}}.$$

Target: establish pointwise large and moderate deviation principles for the estimate $\lambda_n(x)$ of $\lambda(x)$.

Definition

$$P(\hat{S}_n \approx x) \asymp \exp\{-v_n I(x)\}.$$

$\{\mu_\epsilon\}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with a rate function I if, for all $A \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$-\inf_{x \in \overset{\circ}{A}} I(x) \leq \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon \log \mu_\epsilon(A) \leq \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon \log \mu_\epsilon(A) \leq -\inf_{x \in \bar{A}} I(x)$$

where $\overset{\circ}{A}$ denote the interior of A and \bar{A} the closure of A .

The **logarithmic moment generating** function associated with the law μ is defined as $\Phi(\lambda) := \log E[\exp \langle \lambda, X_1 \rangle]$.

The **Fenchel-Legendre transform of $\Phi(\lambda)$** is

$$\Phi^*(x) := \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{ \langle \lambda, x \rangle - \Phi(\lambda) \}.$$

Theorem (Cramér(1938))

Assume that $D_\Phi = \mathbb{R}^d$, then

(a) For any closed set $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P(S_n \in F) \leq - \inf_{x \in F} \Phi^*(x).$$

(b) For any open set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P(S_n \in G) \geq - \inf_{x \in G} \Phi^*(x).$$

Theorem (Chernoff(1952))

For any $y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P(S_n > y) = - \inf_{x \geq y} \Phi^*(x).$$

Bahadur (1971), Nikitin (1995), Louani (1998, 2000)

Consider $X_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where X_n possesses the law μ_n and the **logarithmic moment generating function**

$$\Psi_n(\lambda) := \log E[\exp \langle \lambda, X_n \rangle]$$

Assumptions:

- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \Psi_n(\lambda) = \Phi(\lambda) \in [-\infty, \infty]$ exists.
- $0 \in \overset{\circ}{D}_\Phi$, with $D_\Phi = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^d : \Phi(\lambda) < \infty\}$.

◀ Return The **Fenchel-Legendre transform** of $\Phi(\lambda)$ is

$$\Phi^*(x) := \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{\langle \lambda, x \rangle - \Phi(\lambda)\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Theorem (Gärtner-Ellis)

Let Assumption hold.

(a) For any closed set F ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P(S_n \in F) \leq - \inf_{x \in F} \Phi^*(x).$$

(b) For any open set G ,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P(S_n \in G) \geq - \inf_{x \in G \cap \mathcal{F}} \Phi^*(x).$$

where \mathcal{F} is the set exposed points of Φ^* whose exposing hyperplane belong to $\overset{\circ}{D}_{\Phi}$. [▶ See](#)

(c) if Φ is an essentially smooth, lower semicontinuous function, then the LDP hold with the good rate function $\Phi^*(\cdot)$. [▶ See](#)

We consider a vector process taking value in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ and defined by

$$\mathbf{Z}_n(x) = (f_n^1(x), f_n^2(x)),$$

where

$$f_n^1(x) = \frac{1}{nh_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\delta_i K\left(\frac{x - Z_i}{h_n}\right) - h_n \lambda(x) (1 - \mathbb{1}_{\{Z_i \leq x\}}) \right]$$

and

$$f_n^2(x) = 1 - H_n(x).$$

A large deviation principle is stated for the process $\mathbf{Z}_n(x)$ and the result on the estimate $\lambda_n(x)$ is derived as a by-product by the contraction principle.

Hypothesis

- In order to give our results, we gather some notations and assumptions,

(H.1) As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $h_n \rightarrow 0$ and $nh_n \rightarrow \infty$;

(K.1) $K \geq 0$, $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(z) dz = 1$;

(F.1) f is differentiable with bounded derivative f' ;

(G.1) G is differentiable with bounded derivative g ;

Hypothesis

$$(1.1) \quad I(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\exp(tK(z)) - 1) dz < \infty, \quad \forall t > 0. \text{ Set } J \equiv I';$$

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |z|(\exp(tK(z)) - 1) dz < \infty, \quad \forall t > 0;$$

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(z) \exp(tK(z)) dz < \infty, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Theorem (2.1)

Suppose that conditions **(H1)**, **(K1)**, **(F1)**, **(G1)** and **(I1)** - **(I3)** are satisfied. Then the process $\mathbf{Z}_n(x)$ satisfies a large deviation principle in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with the rate $1/nh_n$ and the rate function Υ_x defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_x(u, v) &= \sup_{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R}^2} \{ut + vs - \Phi_x(t, s)\} \\ &= \begin{cases} (u + \lambda(x)(1 - H(x)))J^{-1}\left(\frac{u + \lambda(x)(1 - H(x))}{f(x)(1 - G(x))}\right) \\ \quad - f(x)(1 - G(x))I\left(J^{-1}\left(\frac{u + \lambda(x)(1 - H(x))}{f(x)(1 - G(x))}\right)\right), & \text{if } v \leq (1 - H(x)) \\ \infty, & \text{if } v > (1 - H(x)). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

LDP

For any $(r, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the limit normalized logarithm of the Laplace transform of the process $\mathbf{Z}_n(x)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_x(t, s) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nh_n} \log E[\exp\{nh_n \langle (t, s), \mathbf{Z}_n(x) \rangle\}] \\ &= (s - t\lambda(x))(1 - H(x)) + f(x)(1 - G(x)) \int (\exp\{tK(z)\} - 1) dz.\end{aligned}$$

We have now to establish that the function $\Phi_x(t, s)$ is essentially smooth. [▶ See](#)

Corollaire (2.2)

Suppose that conditions of Theorem (2.1) are satisfied. Then the process $\lambda_n(x) - \lambda(x)$ satisfies a large deviation principle in \mathbb{R} with the rate $1/nh_n$ and the rate function $F_x(\alpha)$ given by

$$F_x(\alpha) = \begin{cases} (\alpha + \lambda(x))(1 - H(x))J^{-1}\left(\frac{(\alpha + \lambda(x))(1 - H(x))}{f(x)(1 - G(x))}\right) \\ \quad - f(x)(1 - G(x))I\left(J^{-1}\left(\frac{(\alpha + \lambda(x))(1 - H(x))}{f(x)(1 - G(x))}\right)\right), & \text{if } 0 < \alpha \leq \frac{f(x)(T_1 - (1 - F(x)))}{(1 - F(x))}, \\ \infty, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

where $T_1 = \sup_{t>0} \{J(t)\}$. [▶ See](#)

$$F_x(\alpha) := \inf\{\Upsilon_x(u, v) : u = \alpha v\} = \inf_v \Upsilon_x(\alpha v, v).$$

MDP

Set

$$D_n(x) = \frac{f_n^*(x) - f^*(x)}{1 - H(x)} - \frac{\lambda(x)}{1 - H(x)} [(1 - H_n(x)) - (1 - H(x))],$$

where

$$f_n^*(x) = \frac{1}{nh_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i K\left(\frac{x - Z_i}{h_n}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad f^*(x) = f(x)(1 - G(x)),$$

A moderate deviation principle is stated for the process $D_n(x)$ allowing to derive the result on to the random sequence $\lambda_n(x) - \lambda(x)$ by an exponential equivalence theorem.

Hypothesis

(H.2) Let (b_n) be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\frac{nh_n}{b_n} \rightarrow \infty$, $\frac{nh_n^2}{b_n} \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{nh_n}{b_n^2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;

(K.2) $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K^2(z) dz < \infty$;

MDP

Theorem (2.3)

Assume that assumptions **(H1)**-**(H2)**, **(F1)**, **(G1)**, and **(K1)** - **(K2)** hold true. Then, the sequence $\frac{nh_n}{b_n} D_n(x)$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed $\frac{nh_n}{b_n^2}$ and a good rate function γ_x , where

$$\gamma_x(\alpha) \equiv \sup_t \{\alpha t - \Phi_x(t)\} = \frac{\alpha^2(1 - H(x))^2}{2f(x)(1 - G(x)) \int K^2(z) dz}.$$

For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the limit normalized logarithm of the Laplace transform of the process $\mathbf{D}_n(x)$ is given by *

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_x(t) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{nh_n}{b_n^2} \log E\left(\exp\{tb_n D_n(x)\}\right) \\ &= \frac{t^2 f(x)(1 - G(x))}{2(1 - H(x))^2} \int K^2(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Phi_x(t)$ is a differentiable function.

Theorem (2.4)

Suppose that conditions of Theorem (2.3) are satisfied. Then the sequence $\frac{nh_n}{b_n}(\lambda_n(x) - \lambda(x))$ satisfies a large deviation principle with the speed $\frac{nh_n}{b_n^2}$ and the rate function γ_x . [▶ See](#)

We have to show that $b_n(\lambda_n(x) - \lambda(x))$ and $b_n D_n$ are exponentially equivalent, i.e., for any $\alpha > 0$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{nh_n}{b_n^2} \log P\left(\frac{nh_n}{b_n} |\lambda_n(x) - \lambda(x) - D_n(x)| > \alpha\right) = -\infty.$$

The moderate deviation result for the sequence $\lambda_n(x) - \lambda(x)$ is presented in the following theorem.

Lemma

Whenever $b_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $b_n/nh_n \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for any $0 < x < x_H$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_n}{b_n} \log P\left(\sqrt{\frac{nh_n}{b_n}} |H_n(x) - H(x)| > \beta\right) = -\frac{\beta^2}{2H(x)(1 - H(x))}.$$

Thank you for your attention!



J. Blum and V. Susarla.

Maximal deviation theory of some estimators of prior distribution functions.

Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., 33(3):425–436, 1981.



Amir Dembo and Ofer Zeitouni.

Large deviations techniques and applications, volume 38 of *Applications of Mathematics (New York)*.

Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.



Amadou Oury Korbe Diallo and Djamal Louani.

Moderate and large deviation principles for the hazard rate function kernel estimator under censoring.

Statistics & Probability Letters, 83(3):735–743, 2013.



Abdelkader Mokkadem, Mariane Pelletier, and Julien Worms.

Large and moderate deviations principles for kernel estimation of a multivariate density and its partial derivatives.

Aust. N. Z. J. Stat., 47(4):489–502, 2005.

Definitions

- $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, is an **exposed point** of Φ^* if for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $x \neq y$,

$$\langle \lambda, y \rangle - \Phi^*(y) > \langle \lambda, x \rangle - \Phi^*(x)$$

◀ Return

- A convex function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ is **essentially smooth** if:
 - $\overset{\circ}{D}_{\Phi}$ is non-empty.
 - $\Phi(\cdot)$ is differentiable throughout $\overset{\circ}{D}_{\Phi}$.
 - $\Phi(\cdot)$ is steep, namely, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\nabla \Phi(\lambda_n)| = \infty$ whenever $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence in $\overset{\circ}{D}_{\Phi}$ converging to a boundary point of $\overset{\circ}{D}_{\Phi}$.

◀ Return

Theorem (Contraction principle)

Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be topological spaces. Let $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of Borel probability measures on \mathcal{X} and $g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a continuous function. If $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies the LDP in \mathcal{X} with good rate function I , then the sequence of induced measures $\{\nu_n = \mu_n g^{-1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies the LDP in \mathcal{Y} with good rate function

$$I^g(y) = \inf_{x \in g^{-1}(\{y\})} I(x). \quad \leftarrow \text{Return}$$

Definition

Two families of random variables, $\{X_n\}$ and $\{Y_n\}$ taking values in a common metric space, are **exponentially equivalent** when, for all $\delta \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P(d(X_n, Y_n) > \delta) = -\infty.$$

[Return](#)